



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                       |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</a>                                          |
| Manuscript Number:       | 2013_AJEA_7110                                                                                        |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EFFECT OF PHOSPHORUS AND RHIZOBIA INOCULATION ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF SOYBEAN (Glycine max L. Merrill) |
| Type of the Article      |                                                                                                       |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)                                                                                                                                                |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | I have been trying to improve the manuscript written on "effect of phosphorus and rhizobia inoculation on growth and yield of soybean" However, as per the knowledge and research experience i already had, it is a little bit difficult to consider it as a scientific paper done following a standard research. It is more of an observation kind. If it is possible to recommend, i prefer the work to be repeated in more number of replications across locations at least for more than two years. But it can still be published as a short communication if you can. | The research was indeed conducted in the Major and Minor cropping seasons of 2012 and repeated in 2013.<br>It was unfortunate that the manuscript presented reported only one year.<br>I suppose for this kind of work, a 2 – year period is adequate to draw a reasonable conclusion.<br><br>This is a full-length research paper. |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |